arXiv:1604.07162v2 [math-ph] 29 Sep 2016

On S-matrix, and fusion rules for irreducible V^G-modules

Liuyi Zhang
Department of Mathematics,
University of California, Santa Cruz,
CA 95064 USA
zhang.liuyi@qq.com
Li Wu
Chern Institute of Mathematics,
Key Lab of Pure Mathematics and Combinatorics of Ministry of Education,
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China
nankai.wuli@gmail.com

Abstract

Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra, and G a finite automorphism group of V such that V^G is regular. The definition of entries in S-matrix on V^G is discussed, and then is extended. The set of V^G-modules can be considered as a unitary space. In this paper, we obtain some connections between V-modules and V^G-modules over that unitary space. As an application, we determine the fusion rules for irreducible V^G-modules which occur as submodules of irreducible V-modules by the fusion rules for irreducible V-modules and by the structure of G.

1 Introduction

This paper deals with fusion rules for irreducible V^G-modules which occur as submodules of irreducible V-modules. In [21, Theorem 2], a lower bound of those fusion rules is introduced. In the recent study of the orbifold theory, Dong-Ren-Xu [4] prove that, assuming certain conditions, every irreducible V^G-module is a V^G-submodule of irreducible g-twisted V-modules for some $g \in G$. Motivated by the decomposition [4, Equation 3.1], we show that the lower bound given by Tanabe is the desired fusion rules.

Using the extended definition of entries in S-matrix, we establish a unitary space on the set of V^G-modules. The inner product on the unitary space is derived from the entries S_{M_i,M_j}. We show that the linear subspace spanned by g-twisted V-modules, $\mathcal{S}_V(G) = \bigcup_{g\in G} \mathscr{M}(g)$, consists of exactly the annihilators of the linear subspace spanned by irreducible V^G-modules which occur as submodules of irreducible $g \neq id$ twisted V-modules.

Finding all desired entries S-matrix is a practical way to compute the fusion rules of irreducible modules of V^G. Using the extended definition of entries in S-matrix, we show that the entries $S_{M_\lambda,E}$ are distributed proportionally to the quantum dimension of M_λ. The concept of quantum dimension in vertex operator algebra is first introduced in the paper [6]. As an application, we obtain the following main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M, N, F \in \mathscr{M}_V$. The notations are defined in Remark 6.4. Then, we have*

$$N_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_{\mathscr{O}(F)}}(G,\mathscr{O}(F))}(\mathrm{Ind}_{S(F)}^{D(F)} V_\xi, \mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1,\chi_1}(v^1, v^2)).$$

.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some results used in this paper for g-rational vertex operator algebras, the modular invariance of trace functions, Verlinde formula, and orbifold theory from [8], [9], [4], [17]. In Section 3, we extend the definition of the entries in the S matrix, and then derive some properties based on the extended definition. In Section 4, we establish a unitary space on the set of V^G-modules, and then analyze the structure of the unitary space. This structure is useful in discussing the action of a group G on g-twisted modules. As an application, we show that $G_M = G$ under certain assumptions. In Section 5, we show the "evenly distributive property" of certain entries in the S-matrix, and then give a general formula of the fusion rules for irreducible V^G-modules which occur as submodules of irreducible V-modules by the fusion rules for irreducible V-modules and by the structure of G.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basics

The definition of vertex operator algebra $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is introduced and is developed in [3], [12]. The definition of module (including weak, admissible and ordinary modules) are given in [7], [8].

According to [8], a vertex operator algebra is called rational if any admissible module is a direct sum of irreducible admissible modules. According to [23], a vertex operator algebra V is called C_2-cofinite if the subspace $C_2(V)$ is spanned by $u_{-2}v$ for all $u, v \in V$ has finite codimension in V. A C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebra is finitely generated with a PBW-like spanning set [13].

According to [7], a vertex operator algebra is called regular if any weak module is a direct sum of irreducible ordinary modules. In [1], [20], the authors show that regularity is equivalent to the combination of rationality and C_2-cofiniteness if V is of CFT type ($V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_n = 0$ for $n < 0$). Regular vertex operator algebras include many important vertex operator algebras such as the lattice vertex operator algebras, vertex operator algebras associated to the integrable highest weight modules for affine Kac-Moody algebras, vertex operator algebras associated to the discrete series for the Virasoro algebra, the framed vertex operator algebras.

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and g an automorphism of V of finite order T. Then

V is a direct sum of eigenspaces of g:

$$V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/T\mathbb{Z}} V^r,$$

where $V^r = v \in V | gv = e^{-2\pi i r/T} v$. Use r to denote both an integer between 0 and $T-1$ and its residue class mod T in this situation. The definitions of g-twisted V-module (including weak, admissible, ordinary modules) and g-rational vertex operator algebra are given in [4, Definitions 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4].

2.2 Modular Invariance

Let V be a vertex operator algebra, g an automorphism of V of order T and $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} M_{\lambda+n}$ a g-twisted V-module.

For any homogeneous element $v \in V$, the trace function associated to v is defined to be

$$Z_M(v,q) = \mathrm{tr}_M o(v) q^{L(0)-\frac{c}{24}} = q^{\lambda - \frac{c}{24}} \Sigma_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathrm{tr}_{M_{\lambda+n}} o(v) q^n,$$

where $o(v) = v(\mathrm{wt}v - 1)$ is the degree zero operator of v. According to [23], [9], the trace function $Z_M(v, q$ converges to a holomorphic function on the domain $|q| < 1$ if V is C_2-cofinite. Let τ be in the complex upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ and $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. Then, the holomorphic function $Z_M(v,q)$ becomes $Z_M(v,\tau)$.

Let $v = 1$ be the vacuum vector. Then, the holomorphic function $Z_M(\mathbf{1}, q)$ becomes the formal character of M. Denote $Z_M(1,q)$ and $Z_M(1,\tau)$ by $\chi_M(\tau)$ and $\chi_M(\tau)$, respectively. We call $\chi_M(q)$ the character of M

In [9], the authors discuss the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ on twisted modules. Let $g, h \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ with g of finite order. If M, Y_M is a weak g-twisted V-module, there is a weak $h^{-1}gh$-twisted V-module $M \circ h, Y_{M \circ h}$, where $M \circ h \cong M$ as vector spaces and

$$Y_{M \circ h}(v,z) = Y_M(hv, z),$$

for $v \in V$. This defines a left action of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ on weak twisted V-modules and on isomorphism classes of weak twisted V-modules. Symbolically, write

$$(M, Y_M) \circ h = (M \circ h, Y_{M \circ h}) = M \circ h,$$

where we sometimes abuse notation slightly by identifying M, Y_M with the isomorphism class that it defines.

If g, h commute, h acts on the g-twisted modules. Denote by $\mathscr{M}_V(g)$ the equivalence classes of irreducible g-twisted V-modules and set $\mathscr{M}_V(g,h) = \{M \in \mathscr{M}_V(g) | h \circ M \cong M\}$.

It is well known [8], [9] that if V is g-rational, both $\mathscr{M}_V(g)$ and $\mathscr{M}_V(g,h)$ are finite sets. For any $M \in \mathscr{M}_V(g,h)$, there is a g-twisted V-modules isomorphism

$$\varphi(h) : M \circ h \to M.$$

The linear map $\varphi(h)$ is unique up to a nonzero scalar. If $h = 1$, we simply take $\varphi(1) = 1$. For $v \in V$, set

$$Z_M(v,(g,h),\tau) = \mathrm{tr}_M o(v)\varphi(h)q^{L(0)-\frac{c}{24}} = q^{\lambda-\frac{c}{24}}\Sigma_{n\in\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+}\mathrm{tr}_{M_{\lambda+n}}o(v)\varphi(h)q^n,$$

which is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ (see [9]). Note that $Z_M(v,(g,h),\tau)$ is defined up a nonzero scalar. If $h = 1$, then $Z_M(v,(g,1),\tau) = Z_M(v,\tau)$.

The assumptions made in [4] are

- (V1) $V = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} V_n$ is a simple vertex operator algebra of CFT type,
- (V2) G is a finite automorphism group of V and V^G is a vertex operator algebra of CFT type,
- (V3) V^G is C_2-cofinite and rational,
- (V4) The conformal weight of any irreducible g twisted V-module for $g \in G$ except V itself is positive.

In the rest of this paper, we also assume these four conditions.

The following results are obtained in [1], [2], [18].

Lemma 2.1. *Let V and G be as before. Then, V is C_2-cofinite, and V is g-rational for all $g \in G$.*

In Reference [23], Zhu introduced a second vertex operator algebra $(V, Y[\,], \mathbf{1}, \tilde{\omega})$ associated to V, where $\tilde{\omega} = \omega - \frac{c}{24}$ and

$$Y[v,z] = Y(v, e^z - 1)e^{z.(wt)(v)} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v[n]z^{n-1}$$

for homogeneous v. Write

$$Y[\tilde{\omega}, z] = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L[n]z^{-n-2}.$$

Carefully distinguish between the notion of conformal weight in the original vertex operator algebra and in the second vertex operator algebra $(V, Y[\,], \mathbf{1}, \tilde{\omega})$. If $v \in V$ is homogenous in the second vertex operator algebra, denote its weight by $\mathrm{wt}[v]$. For such v, define an action of the modular group Γ on T_M in a familiar way, namely

$$Z_{M|\gamma}(v,(g,h),\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-\mathrm{wt}[v]} Z_M(v,(g,h),\gamma\tau), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\gamma\tau$ is the Mobius transformation; that is,

$$\gamma : \tau \mapsto \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d},\ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $P(G)$ denote the commuting pairs of elements in a group G. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ act on the right of $P(G)$ via

$$(g, h)\gamma = (g^a h^c, g^b h^d).$$

The following results are from [23], [5].

Theorem 2.2. *Assume $(g, h) \in P(\mathrm{Aut}(V))$ such that the orders of g and h are finite. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Also assume that V is $g^a h^c$-rational and C_2-cofinite. If M^i is an irreducible h-stable g-twisted V-module, then*

$$T_M|_\gamma(v, g, h, \tau) = \sum_{N_j \in \mathscr{M}(g^a h^c, g^b h^d)} \gamma_{i,j}(g, h) T_{N_j}(v, (g, h)\gamma, \tau),$$

where $\gamma_{i,j}(g, h)$ are some complex numbers independent of the choice of $v \in V$.

For convention, use $\mathscr{M}_V$ for $\mathscr{M}_V(1)$, the set of all irreducible V-modules.

2.3 Fusion rules and Verlinde Formula

Let V be as before and $M, N, W \in \mathscr{M}_V$. The fusion rule $N_{M,N}^W$ is $\dim I_V \binom{W}{M\ \ N}$, where $I_V \binom{W}{M\ \ N}$ is the space of intertwining operators of type $\binom{W}{M\ \ N}$.

Since V is rational, there is a tensor product $\boxtimes$ of two V-modules (see [15], [16], [19]) such that if M, N are irreducible then $M \boxtimes N = \sum_{W \in \mathscr{M}_V} N_{M,N}^W W$. The irreducible V-module is called a simple current if $M \boxtimes N$ is irreducible again for any irreducible module N.

The following Verlinde formula (see [22]) is proved in [17].

Theorem 2.3. *Let V be a rational and C_2-cofinite simple vertex operator algebra of CFT type and assume $V \cong V'$. Let $S = (S_{i,j})$ be the S-matrix. Then,*

- *(1) $(S^{-1})_{i,j} = S_{i,j'} = S_{i',j}$, and $S_{i',j'} = S_{i,j}$.*
- *(2) S is symmetric and $S^2 = (\delta_{i,j'})$.*
- *(3) $N_{i,j}^k = \sum_{s=0}^d \frac{1}{S_{0,s}} S_{j,s} S_{i,s} S_{k',s}$.*
- *(4) The S-matrix diagonalizes the fusion matrix $N(i) = (N_{i,j}^k)_{j,k=0}^d$ with diagonal entries $\frac{S_{i,s}}{S_{0,s}}$, for $i, s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, d\}$. More explicitly, $S^{-1} N(i) S = \mathrm{diag}(\frac{S_{i,s}}{S_{0,s}})_{s=0}^d$. In particular, $S_{0,s} \neq 0$, for $s \in \{0, 1, \cdots, d\}$.*

We also have [10]:

Proposition 2.4. *The S-matrix is unitary and $S_{V,M} = S_{M,V}$ is positive for any irreducible V-module M.*

2.4 On Orbifold Theory

The quantum Galois theory was introduced in [11],[14],[6].

Theorem 2.5. *(Quantum Galois Theory)Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra, G a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ acting continuously on V. Then, as a G, V^G-module,*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} (W_\chi \otimes V_\chi), \tag{2.2}$$

where

- $V_\chi \neq 0$, $\forall \chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$,
- V_χ *is an irreducible V^G-module, $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$,*
- $V_\chi \cong V_\lambda$ *as V^G-modules if and only if $\chi = \lambda$.*

Some conjectures on orbifold theory are proved in [4].

Theorem 2.6. *(orbifold theory)Let V, G be defined in the reference, and M be an irreducible g-twisted V-module, N an irreducible h-twisted V-module. Assume that M and N are not in the same orbit of $\mathcal{S}$ under the action of G. Then, as a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G_M], V^{G_M}$-module,*

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M, \alpha_M}} W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda, \tag{2.3}$$

where

- $W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda$ *is nonzero for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}$,*
- *each M_λ is an irreducible V^{G_M}-module,*
- $M_\lambda \cong M_\gamma$, *as V^{G_M}-modules, if and only if $\lambda = \gamma$,*
- *each M_λ is an irreducible V^G-module,*
- $M_\lambda \ncong N_\mu$, *as V^G-modules,*
- *any irreducible V^G-module is isomorphic to M_λ for some irreducible g-twisted V-module M and some $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}$.*

The following results are from [4].

Theorem 2.7. *We have*

$$\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} M = |G| \mathrm{qdim}_V M.$$

for $M \in \mathscr{M}_V(g)$, and $g \in G$.

Theorem 2.8. *Use the same notations in Theorem 2.6. We have*

$$S_{V_\lambda, V^G} = \frac{\mathrm{dim} W_\lambda}{|G_M|} S_{M,V} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} M_\lambda = |G : G_M| (\mathrm{dim} W_\lambda)(\mathrm{qdim}_V M). \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 2.9. *we have the following relation,*

$$\mathrm{glob}(V^G) = |G|^2 \mathrm{glob}(V).$$

3 Definition extension of the entries in the S-matrix

In this paper, V^G is a rational vertex operator algebra satisfying the four conditions (V1)–(V4) in Section 4, where G is a finite automorphism group of V. We first introduce some notations used in this paper.

Remark 3.1. The last statement in Theorem 2.6 shows that there are two types of irreducible V^G modules modules.

- An irreducible V^G module M is of *type one* if M occurs in the decomposition of irreducible V modules, as V^G modules.
- An irreducible V^G module M is of *type two* if M does not occur in the decomposition of irreducible V modules, as V^G modules. That is, M occurs in a g twisted V^G module for some $g \in G$ and $g \neq 1$.

Remark 3.2. Denote by $\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}$ the set of irreducible V^G-modules of type one, by $\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$ the set of irreducible V^G-modules of type two. Set $\mathcal{S}_V(G) = \bigcup_{g\in G} \mathscr{M}(g)$. Let $\mathscr{O}(W) = \{M \in \mathscr{M}_V | M \circ g \cong W, \text{ for some } g \in G\}$. Set $\mathscr{S}_V(G) = \mathcal{S}_V(G)/G = \{\mathscr{O}(M) | M \in \mathcal{S}_V(G)\}$.

The following definition of the S-matrix is well known (see [23]).

Definition 3.3. In Equation 2.1, let $g = h = 1$, and $\gamma = S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then,

$$Z_{M^i}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) = \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{j=0}^{d} S_{i,j} Z_{M^j}(v, \tau). \tag{3.1}$$

The matrix $S = (S_{i,j})$ is called an S-matrix which is independent of the choice of v.

Then, we can extend the definition of the entries $S_{U,W}$.

Definition 3.4. Extend the notation of the entry$S_{U,W}$, where $U, W \in \mathscr{M}_V$. Add to S a superscript V, where V is the associated vertex operator algebra. That is, S^V represents the S-matrix of V, whereas S^{V^G} represents the S-matrix of V^G. The entries $S^V_{U,W}$, $S^{V^G}_{U,W}$ are defined in the same way. Denote $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n U_i$ and $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$, where U_i and W_j are irreducible V^G-modules, for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$. Note that U_{i_1} and U_{i_2} might be isomorphic V^G-modules for $i_1 \neq i_2$. Then, define

$$S^{V^G}_{U,W} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} S^{V^G}_{U_i,W_j}. \tag{3.2}$$

This extension is well defined, because the module decomposition $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n U_i$ is unique. The superscript V can be omitted, if no confusion follows.

The following lemma shows that two V-modules can exactly be distinguished by the associated entries in the S-matrix.

Lemma 3.5. *Let U, W be two V-modules. Then, $U \cong W$ if and only if $S^V_{U,M} = S^V_{W,M}$ for every $M \in \mathscr{M}_V$.*

Proof. Since V is a rational vertex operator algebra, U, W are completely reducible and the module decomposition is unique. For $v \in V^G$ and $W \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$, and , $Z_W(v,\tau)$ are linearly independent (see [23]). Thus, $U \cong W$, if and only if $Z_U(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) = Z_W(v, -\frac{1}{\tau})$, if only if $S^V_{U,M} = S^V_{W,M}$ for every $M \in \mathscr{M}_V$. □

The formula in the next lemma is a variation of the third statement in Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 3.6. *Let U and W be two V-modules. Let M^k be an irreducible V-module, where $k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, d\}$. Then, $S_{U\boxtimes W, M^k} = \frac{1}{S_{V,M^k}} S_{U,M^k} S_{W,M^k}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show the equation is true when U and W are irreducible V-modules. Assume that U and W are irreducible V-modules. Let $v \in V$ be a homogeneous vector in the second vertex operator algebra. Recall the fusion product relation, $U \boxtimes W = \sum_{k=0}^d N_{U,M}^{M^k} M^k$. Then, by Verlinde formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{U\boxtimes W}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) =& Z_{\{\sum_{k=0}^d N_{U,M}^{M^k} M\}}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) \\
=& \sum_{k=0}^d N_{U,M}^{M^k} Z_{M^k}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) \\
=& \sum_{k=0}^d (\sum_{l=0}^d \frac{1}{S_{V,M^l}} S_{U,M^l} S_{W,M^l} S_{(M^k)',M^l}) Z_{M^k}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) \\
=& \sum_{k=0}^d (\sum_{l=0}^d \frac{1}{S_{V,M^l}} S_{U,M^l} S_{W,M^l} S_{\{(M^k)',M^l\}}) (\tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{r=0}^d S_{M^k,M^r} Z_{M^r}(v,\tau)) \\
=& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} (\sum_{l=0}^d \frac{1}{S_{V,M^l}} S_{U,M^l} S_{W,M^l}) (\sum_{r=0}^d \delta_{M^l,M^r} Z_{M^r}(v,\tau)) \\
=& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{l=0}^d \frac{1}{S_{V,M^l}} S_{U,M^l} S_{W,M^l} Z_{M^l}(v,\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $Z_{U\boxtimes W}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) = \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{l=0}^d S_{U\boxtimes W, M^l} Z_{M^l}(v,\tau)$. A comparison of the coefficients of $Z_{M^l}(v,\tau)$ yields $S_{U\boxtimes W, M^l} = \frac{1}{S_{V,M^l}} S_{U,M^l} S_{W,M^l}$. □

Next, we need to find some methods to compute the entries $S^{V^G}_{M,W_j}$ based on entries in the S-matrix of V.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $M, W \in \mathscr{M}_V$. By orbifold theory, denote $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j \otimes U_j$, where $W_j \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$, and $W_{j_1} \ncong W_{j_2}$, for $j_1 \neq j_2$. Then,*

$$S^{V^G}_{M,W_j} = (\dim U_j) \sum_{N \in \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Denote $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} M_i$, where $M_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$. Note that M_{i_1} and M_{i_2} might be isomorphic, for $i_1 \neq i_2$. Definition 3.4 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_M(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) =& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{N \in \mathscr{M}_V} S^V_{M,N} Z_N(v, \tau) \\
=& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} (\sum_{N \notin \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N} Z_N(v, \tau) + \sum_{N \in \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N} Z_N(v, \tau)) \\
=& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} (\sum_{N \notin \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N} Z_N(v, \tau) + \sum_{N \in \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\dim\, U_j) Z_{W_j}(v, \tau)) \\
=& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} (\sum_{N \notin \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N} Z_N(v, \tau) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} ((\dim\, U_j) \sum_{N \in \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N} Z_{W_j}(v, \tau))).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_M(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) =& \sum_{i=1}^{m} Z_{M_i}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) \\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} ((\sum_{N \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G},\ N \neq W_j} S^{V^G}_{M_i,N} Z_N(v, \tau)) + S^{V^G}_{M_i,W_j} Z_{W_j}(v, \tau)) \\
=& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} ((\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{N \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G},\ N \neq W_j} S^{V^G}_{M_i,N} Z_N(v, \tau)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} S^{V^G}_{M_i,W_j} Z_{W_j}(v, \tau)) \\
=& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} ((\sum_{N \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G},\ N \neq W_j} \sum_{i=1}^{m} S^{V^G}_{M_i,N} Z_N(v, \tau)) + S^{V^G}_{M,W_j} Z_{W_j}(v, \tau)) \\
=& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} ((\sum_{N \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G},\ N \neq W_j} S^{V^G}_{M,N} Z_N(v, \tau)) + S^{V^G}_{M,W_j} Z_{W_j}(v, \tau)).
\end{aligned}$$

A comparison of the coefficients of $Z_{W_j}(v, \tau)$ shows that $S^{V^G}_{M,W_j} = (\dim\, U_j) \sum_{N \in \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N}$. □

The next corollary follows directly from the preceding lemma.

Corollary 3.8. *Use the same notation in the preceding lemma. Then,*

$$S^{V^G}_{M,W_j} = (\mathrm{dim} U_j) \frac{\sum_{g \in G} S^V_{M, W \circ g}}{|G_W|}.$$

Proof. Equation 3.3 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{V^G}_{M,W_j} =& (\mathrm{dim} U_j) \sum_{N \in \mathscr{O}(W)} S^V_{M,N} \\
=& (\mathrm{dim} U_j) \frac{\sum_{g \in G} S^V_{M, W \circ g}}{|G_W|}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The formula in the next lemma is useful in our further discussions.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $M, W \in \mathscr{M}_V$, and $g \in G$. Then,*

$$S^V_{M\circ g, W\circ g} = S^V_{M,W}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Definition 3.4 shows that

$$Z_{M\circ g}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) = \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{N\circ g \in \mathscr{M}_V} S^V_{M\circ g, N\circ g} Z_{N\circ g}(v, \tau).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{M\circ g}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) =& Z_M(gv, -\frac{1}{\tau}) \\ =& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{N\in \mathscr{M}_V} S^V_{M,N} Z_N(gv, \tau) \\ =& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{N\in \mathscr{M}_V} S^V_{M,N} Z_{N\circ g}(v, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

A comparison of the coefficients of $Z_{N\circ g}(v, \tau)$ shows that $S^V_{M\circ g, N\circ g} = S^V_{M,N}$. □

The next corollary follows directly from the preceding lemma.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $W \in \mathscr{M}_V$, and $g \in G$. Then,*

$$S^V_{V, W\circ g} = S^V_{V,W}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Since g is an automorphism of V, we have $V \circ g \cong V$. Lemma 3.9 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} S^V_{V,W\circ g} =& S^V_{V\circ g, W\circ g} \\ =& S^V_{V,W}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.11. *Use the same notation in Corollary 3.8. Then,*

$$S^{V^G}_{V,W_j} = (\mathrm{dim} U_j)|G|\frac{S^V_{V,W}}{|G_W|}.$$

Proof. By Corollaries, 3.8, 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^{V^G}_{V,W_j} =& (\mathrm{dim} U_j)\frac{\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{V,W\circ g}}{|G_W|} \\ =& (\mathrm{dim} U_j)\frac{\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{V,W}}{|G_W|} \\ =& (\mathrm{dim} U_j)|G|\frac{S^V_{M,W}}{|G_W|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Formulas in the next lemma play an important role in our further discussions.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $M, N, W \in \mathscr{M}_V$, such that W is not an irreducible V^G-module. By orbifold theory, denote $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W_i \otimes P_i$, where $W_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$. Then,*

$$S^{V^G}_{\{(M\boxtimes_{V^G}N),W_i\}} = \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,W_i}} \frac{(\dim\ P_i)^2}{|G_W|^2} (\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{M,W\circ g})(\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{N,W\circ g}), \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$S^{V^G}_{\{(\bigoplus_{g\in G} M\boxtimes_V (N\circ g)),W_i\}} = \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V,W}} \frac{\dim\ P_i}{|G_W|} (\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{M,W\circ g})(\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{N,W\circ g}). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Lemma 3.6 and Corollary 3.8 show that

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{V^G}_{\{(M\boxtimes_{V^G}N),W_i\}} =& \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,W_i}} S^{V^G}_{M,W_i} S^{V^G}_{N,W_i} \\
=& \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,W_i}} (\dim\ P_i) \frac{\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{M,W\circ g}}{|G_W|} (\dim\ P_i) \frac{\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{N,W\circ g}}{|G_W|} \\
=& \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,W_i}} \frac{(\dim\ P_i)^2}{|G_W|^2} (\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{M,W\circ g})(\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{N,W\circ g}).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 3.6, 3.9, and Corollaries 3.8, 3.10 show that

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{V^G}_{\{(\bigoplus_{g\in G} M\boxtimes_V (N\circ g)),W_i\}} =& \sum_{g\in G} S^{V^G}_{\{(M\boxtimes_V (N\circ g)),W_i\}} \\
=& \frac{\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} W_i}{|G_W|} \sum_{g,h\in G} S^V_{\{(M\boxtimes_V (N\circ g)),W_i\circ h\}} \\
=& \frac{\dim P_i}{|G_W|} \sum_{g,h\in G} \frac{1}{S^V_{V,W\circ h}} S^V_{M,W\circ h} S^V_{M\circ g,W\circ h} \\
=& \frac{\dim P_i}{|G_W|} \sum_{g,h\in G} \frac{1}{S^V_{V,W}} S^V_{M,W\circ h} S^V_{M,W\circ g^{-1}h} \\
=& \frac{1}{S_{V,W}} \frac{\dim P_i}{|G_W|} (\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{M,W\circ g})(\sum_{g\in G} S^V_{N,W\circ g}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.13. Let $M, N \in \mathscr{M}_V$. Let $W \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$. Then, $S^{V^G}_{M,W} = S^{V^G}_{N,W} = 0$. This implies $S^{V^G}_{\{(M\boxtimes_{V^G}N),W\}} = S^{V^G}_{\{(\bigoplus_{g\in G} M\boxtimes_V (N\circ g)),W\}} = 0$.

The formula in the next lemma will give an important property of the unitary space constructed in Section 4.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $M \in \mathcal{S}_V(G)$, and $F_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$. Then,*

$$S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} = 0.$$

Proof. Modular invariance shows that

$$Z_M(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) = \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{N \in \mathscr{M}_V} S^V_{M,N} Z_N(V, (1,g), \tau).$$

Reference [4] indicates that

$$Z_N(v, (1,g), \tau) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_N, \alpha_N}} \lambda(g) Z_{N_\lambda}(v, \tau)$$

Since $N \in \mathscr{M}_V$, $N_\lambda \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$ is of type one. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_M(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) =& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{N \in \mathscr{M}_V} S^V_{M,N} Z_N(V, (1,g), \tau) \\ =& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{N \in \mathscr{M}_V} \Big(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_N, \alpha_N}} \lambda(g) Z_{N_\lambda}(\tau) \Big) \\ =& \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{M_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}} a_i Z_{M_i}(v, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Since none of the modules in $\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$ appears in the right side of the preceding equation, we have $S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} = 0$, where $F_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$. □

The next corollary follows directly from the preceding lemma.

Corollary 3.15. *Let $M \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$, and $N \in \mathcal{S}_V(G)$, and $F_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$. Then,*

$$S^{V^G}_{M \boxtimes_{V^G} N, F_i} = 0.$$

Proof. Apply Lemmas 3.6, 3.2. we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^{V^G}_{M \boxtimes_{V^G} N, F_i} =& \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G, F_i}} S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} S^{V^G}_{N,F_i} \\ =& \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G, F_i}} S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} \times 0 \\ =& 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the next lemma, we show a result for fusion products involving V over V^G.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $M \in \mathcal{S}_V(G)$. Then,*

$$V \boxtimes_{V^G} M = |G|M.$$

Proof. Assume $F_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}$. Lemma 3.6 and Equation 5.8 show that

$$\begin{aligned} S^{V^G}_{V\boxtimes_{V^G}M,E_i} =& \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,F_i}} S^{V^G}_{V,F_i} S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} \\ =& \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,F_i}} |G| S^{V^G}_{V^G,F_i} S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} \\ =& |G| S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} \\ =& S^{V^G}_{|G|M,F_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$. Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.15 show that

$$\begin{aligned} S^{V^G}_{V\boxtimes_{V^G}M,F_i} =& 0 \\ =& |G|0 \\ =& |G| S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} \\ =& S^{V^G}_{|G|M,F_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S^{V^G}_{V\boxtimes_{V^G}M,F_i} = S^{V^G}_{|G|M,F_i}$, for every $F_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$. Hence, Lemma 3.5 implies $V \boxtimes_{V^G} M = |G|M$ as V^G-modules. □

4 The unitary space structure on the set of V-modules

Remark 4.1. In the first place, we introduce some notations used in this section. Let $\mathbf{E}_V$ be the linear space spanned by $\mathscr{M}_V$ over $\mathbb{C}$. In the rest of the paper, write $\mathbf{E}_{V^G}$ as $\mathbf{E}$ for convenience. Then, $\dim\mathbf{E} = |\mathscr{M}_{V^G}|$, and $A(\sum a_iM_i, \sum b_iM_i) = S^{V^G}_{\sum a_iM_i, \sum b_iM_i}$ is a bilinear form on $\mathbf{E}$. Since the S-matrix of V^G is unitary, $A(\cdot,\cdot)$ is nondegenerate. Let $\mathbf{W}$ be the linear subspace of $\mathbf{E}$ spanned by $\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$. Let $\mathbf{W}^A = \{x \in \mathbf{E} | A(x,y) = 0,\ \forall y \in \mathbf{W}\}$ consisting of the annihilators of $\mathbf{W}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(\mathbf{W}^A) =& \dim\mathbf{E} - \dim\mathbf{W}\\ =& |\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}|.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_V$ be the set of all V-modules. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\iota: \qquad & \mathcal{M}_{V^G} \to \mathbf{E}\\ & \iota(\bigoplus_i a_iM_i) = \sum_i a_iM_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $M_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$, and $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathbf{U}$ be the linear subspace of $\mathbf{E}$ spanned by $\{\iota(M) | M \in \mathcal{S}_V(G)\}$. Then, $\dim\mathbf{U} = |\mathscr{S}_V(G)|$.

- (a) If $M \in \mathcal{S}_V(G)$, Lemma 3.2 shows that $\iota(M) \in \mathbf{W}^A$.
- (b) If $M \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$, and $N \in \mathcal{S}_V(G)$, Corollary 3.15 shows that $\iota(M \boxtimes_{V^G} N) \in \mathbf{W}^A$.
- (c) $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{W}^A$.
- (d) $\iota(M \boxtimes_{V^G} N) \in \mathbf{U}$, if and only if $M \in \mathbf{U}$, or $N \in \mathbf{U}$.

Statements (c), (d) will be proved in the following paragraphs.

Lemma 4.2. *Use the notations introduced in Remark 4.1. Then,*

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{W}^A.$$

Proof. Let $v \in V^G$, $\tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau}$, $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $N \in \mathscr{M}(1,g)$, where $g \in G$. Then,

$$Z_N(v,(1,g),-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{M_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}} a_i Z_{M_i}(v,\tau). \tag{4.1}$$

Modular invariance shows that

$$Z_N(v,(1,g),-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \tau^{\mathrm{Wt}[v]} \sum_{M \in \mathscr{M}(g)} S_{N,M} Z_M(v,\tau). \tag{4.2}$$

Let $M \in \mathscr{M}_V$. Use generalized Galois theory to denote $M = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda$. By Reference [4],

$$Z_{M_\lambda}(v, -\frac{1}{\tau}) = \frac{1}{|G_M|} \sum_{g \in G_M} Z_M(v, (1,g), -\frac{1}{\tau}) \overline{\lambda(g)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Since $M_\lambda \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}$, Equations 4.2, 4.3 show that

$$|\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}| \leq |\mathcal{S}_V(G)| \tag{4.4}$$

It follows that $\dim \mathbf{W}^A \leq \dim \mathbf{U}$. Statement (a) in Remark 4.1 implies $\dim \mathbf{U} \leq \dim \mathbf{W}^A$, and $\mathbf{U}$ is a subspace of $\mathbf{W}^A$. Thus, $\dim \mathbf{U} = \dim \mathbf{W}^A$, and hence $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{W}^A$. □

Remark 4.3. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{V^G}$ The preceding lemma implies $S^{V^G}_{M,F_i} = 0$, for every $F_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{II}}$, if and only if $M \in \mathbf{B}$.

Remark 4.4. Let $\mathscr{M}_{V^G} = \{M^0, M^1, \ldots, M^d\}$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $e_i = \iota(M^i)$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the Hermitian inner product on $\mathbf{E}$, where $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{E}$. By References [22], [17], the S matrix is unitary. This implies $\{\epsilon_i\}$, where $\epsilon_i = \sum_{k=0}^d S_{i,k} e_k$ is also an orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{E}$. Let $M \in \mathscr{M}_V(g)$. The one-to-one map ι is omitted, if no confusion follows. By orbifold theory, denote $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda$, where $M_\lambda \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M, M \rangle =& \langle \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda, \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda \rangle \\
=& \langle \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} (\dim W_\lambda) M_\lambda, \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} (\dim W_\lambda) M_\lambda \rangle \\
=& \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} (\dim W_\lambda)^2 \\
=& |G_M|.
\end{aligned}$$

By [4, Theorem 3.2], with $N \in \mathscr{M}_V(h)$, we have

$$\langle M, N \rangle = \delta_{\mathscr{O}(M),\mathscr{O}(N)} |G_M|. \tag{4.5}$$

In the next proposition, we show $G_M = G$ under certain assumptions.

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be an abelian group, and V a vertex operator algebra. Assume $G_{M_k} = G$, or $\{e\}$, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then, $G_M = G$, for each $M \in \mathscr{M}_V g$, where $g \neq e$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{M}_V = \{M_1, M_2, M_3, \ldots, M_n\}$. Let $\mathscr{S}_V(\{e\}) = \mathcal{S}_V/G = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_l\}$. Let $G_k = G_M$, where $\bar{M} = S_k$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{g \neq e} |\mathscr{M}_V(g)| \geq & |(\bigcup_{g \neq e}) \mathscr{M}_V(g)/G| \\
= & |\mathscr{S}_V(G) - l| \\
= &
\end{aligned}$$

□

5 Fusion products of irreducible type-one V^G-modules

In this section, let N be in $\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}$. Denote the quantum Galois decomposition of V by $V=\bigoplus_{\chi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)} W_\chi\otimes V_\chi$, where V_χ is an irreducible V^G-module, W_χ is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}G$-module, and $\mathrm{qdim}V_\chi=\mathrm{dim}W_\chi$. Write $\mathscr{M}_{V^G}$ as $\{M^0,M^1,M^2,\cdots,M^d\}$, where $M^0\cong V^G$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $M\in\mathcal{S}_V(G)$, and $E\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}$. By orbifold theory, denote $M=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} W_\lambda\otimes M_\lambda$, where $M_\lambda\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G}$. Then,*

$$\frac{S_{M_{\lambda_1},E}}{\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}M_{\lambda_1}}=\frac{S_{M_{\lambda_2},E}}{\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}M_{\lambda_2}},\tag{5.1}$$

where $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}$.

Proof. Standard modular invariance formula shows that

$$Z_{M_\lambda}(v,-\frac{1}{\tau})=\tau^{\mathrm{wt}[v]}\sum_{W\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G}} S^{V^G}_{M_\lambda,W}Z_W(v,\tau).\tag{5.2}$$

Reference [4] implies the following relation

$$Z_{M_\lambda}(v,-\frac{1}{\tau})=\frac{1}{|G_M|}\tau^{\mathrm{wt}[v]}\sum_{h\in G_M}\sum_{N\in\mathscr{M}(h,g^{-1})} S^V_{M,N}Z_N(v,(h,g^{-1}),\tau)\overline{\lambda(h)}.\tag{5.3}$$

Orbifold theory implies that

$$Z_N(v,(h,g^{-1}),\tau)=\sum_{\mu\in\Lambda_{G_N,\alpha_N}}\mu(h)Z_{N_\mu}(v,\tau).\tag{5.4}$$

Plug Equation 5.4 in Equation 5.3.

$$Z_{M_\lambda}(v,-\frac{1}{\tau})=\frac{1}{|G_M|}\tau^{\mathrm{wt}[v]}\sum_{h\in G_M}\sum_{N\in\mathscr{M}(h,g^{-1})} S^V_{M,N}\sum_{\mu\in\Lambda_{G_N,\alpha_N}}\mu(h)Z_{N_\mu}(v,\tau)\overline{\lambda(h)}.\tag{5.5}$$

Then, for $h=1$, observe the coefficients of $Z_{N_\mu}(v,\tau)$ in Equations 5.2, 5.5. A comparison of these two coefficients yields

$$S^{V^G}_{M_\lambda,N_\mu}=\frac{1}{|G_M|}S^V_{M,N}\mu(1)\overline{\lambda(1)}.$$

Thus, by Equation 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{S^{V^G}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_\mu}}{S^{V^G}_{M_{\lambda_2},N_\mu}}=&\frac{\overline{\lambda_1(1)}}{\overline{\lambda_2(1)}}\\ =&\frac{\mathrm{dim}W_{\lambda_1}}{\mathrm{dim}W_{\lambda_2}}\\ =&\frac{\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}M_{\lambda_1}}{\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}M_{\lambda_2}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}$. The desired result follows. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let U, N be irreducible V-modules. Assume that U is not irreducible as a $V^{\langle g\rangle}$-module. Denote $U=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{T-1}U^i$. Then, $S_{U^i,N}=S_{U^j,N}$.*

Proof. Notice that $\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}U^i=\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}U^j$, because $\langle g\rangle$ is a cyclic group. So, Theorem 5.1 implies the desired result. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let $M\in\mathcal{S}_V(G)$, and $W\in\mathscr{M}_V$. By orbifold theory, denote $M=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}}Q_\lambda\otimes M_\lambda$, and $W=\bigoplus_{\mu\in\Lambda_{G_W,\alpha_W}}P_\mu\otimes W_\mu$. Then,*

$$S^{V^G}_{M_\lambda,W_\mu}=\frac{\dim\ Q_\lambda}{|G_M|}(\mathrm{dim}P_\mu)\sum_{N\in\mathscr{O}(W)}S^V_{M,N}. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Since $M=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}}Q_\lambda\otimes M_\lambda$, we have

$$S^{V^G}_{M,W_\mu}=\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}}(\mathrm{dim}Q_\lambda)S^{V^G}_{M_\lambda,W_\mu}.$$

On the other hand, by $W=\bigoplus_{\mu\in\Lambda_{G_W,\alpha_W}}P_\mu\otimes W_\mu$ and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$S^{V^G}_{M,W_\mu}=(\mathrm{dim}P_\mu)\sum_{N\in\mathscr{O}(W)}S^V_{M,N}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}}(\mathrm{dim}Q_\lambda)S^{V^G}_{M_\lambda,W_\mu}=(\mathrm{dim}P_\mu)\sum_{N\in\mathscr{O}(W)}S^V_{M,N}.$$

Let λ run over Λ_{G_M,α_M}. The preceding equation becomes a system of linear equations. By Theorem 5.1, one could solve this system of linear equations, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S^{V^G}_{M_\lambda,W_\mu}=&\frac{\mathrm{dim}Q_\lambda}{\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}}(\mathrm{dim}Q_\lambda)^2}(\mathrm{dim}P_\mu)\sum_{N\in\mathscr{O}(W)}S^V_{M,N}\\=&\frac{\mathrm{dim}Q_\lambda}{|G_M|}(\mathrm{dim}P_\mu)\sum_{N\in\mathscr{O}(W)}S^V_{M,N}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.4. In Equation 5.6, substitute V for M. Recall the fact $G_V=G$. By quantum Galois theory, denote $V=\bigoplus_{\chi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)}(Q_\chi\otimes V_\chi)$. Equation 5.6 becomes

$$S^{V^G}_{V_\chi,W_\mu}=\frac{(\mathrm{dim}Q_\chi)}{|G|}(\mathrm{dim}P_\mu)\sum_{N\in\mathscr{O}(W)}S^V_{V,N}. \tag{5.7}$$

Corollary 5.5. *Let $W\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G}$.*

$$S^{V^G}_{V,W}=|G|S^{V^G}_{V^G,W}. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. Notice that $\mathrm{qdim}(V) = |G|\mathrm{qdim}(V^G)$. Theorem 5.1 implies the desired result. □

Theorem 5.6. *Let $M, N \in \mathscr{M}_V$, and $g \in G$. Then,*

$$M \boxtimes_{V^G} N = \bigoplus_{g\in G} M \boxtimes_V (N \circ g). \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.5, 3.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G|S^{V^G}_{V^G,W_i} =& S^{V^G}_{V,W_i} \\ =& (\dim P_i)|G|\frac{S^V_{M,W}}{|G_W|}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$S^{V^G}_{V^G,W_i} = \frac{(\dim P_i)}{|G_W|} S^V_{V,W}. \tag{5.10}$$

This implies the right side of Equation 3.6 equals the right side of Equation 3.7. It follows that $S^{V^G}_{\{(M\boxtimes_{V^G}N),I\}} = S^{V^G}_{\{(\bigoplus_{g\in G} M\boxtimes_V(N\circ g)),I\}} = 0$ for $I \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$ of type one (untwisted). Remark 3.13 shows that $S^{V^G}_{\{(M\boxtimes_{V^G}N),I\}} = S^{V^G}_{\{(\bigoplus_{g\in G} M\boxtimes_V(N\circ g)),I\}} = 0$ for $I \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$ of type two (twisted). Therefore, $S^{V^G}_{\{(M\boxtimes_{V^G}N),I\}} = S^{V^G}_{\{(\bigoplus_{g\in G} M\boxtimes_V(N\circ g)),I\}} = 0$ for every $I \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G}$. By Lemma 3.5, $M \boxtimes_{V^G} N = \bigoplus_{g\in G} M \boxtimes_V (N \circ g)$. □

Lemma 5.7.

$$V \boxtimes_{V^G} V = |G|V. \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 5.6. □

6 Fusion rules for $\mathscr{M}_{V^G}$, and their quantum dimensions

Theorem 6.1. *Let $M, N, F \in \mathcal{S}_V(G)$. By orbifold theory, write*

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} U_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda \\ N &= \bigoplus_{\chi\in\Lambda_{G_N,\alpha_N}} W_\chi \otimes N_\chi \\ F &= \bigoplus_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} V_\xi \otimes F_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\frac{\langle (M_{\lambda_1} \boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_1}), F\rangle}{\langle (M_{\lambda_2} \boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_2}), F\rangle} = \frac{(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} M_{\lambda_1})(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} N_{\chi_1})}{(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} M_{\lambda_2})(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} N_{\chi_2})},$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}$, and $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \Lambda_{G_N,\alpha_N}$.

Proof. Note that the S-matrix is unitary. By Lemma 3.14 $S^{V^G}_{F,E_i} = 0$, for $E_i \in \mathscr{M}_{V^G,II}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle M, F\rangle_{V^G} &= \sum_{E_i\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G}} S^{V^G}_{M,E_i}\overline{S^{V^G}_{F,E_i}}\\ &= \sum_{E_i\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}} S^{V^G}_{M,E_i}\overline{S^{V^G}_{F,E_i}}.\end{aligned}$$

Apply Corollary 3.15, Lemma 3.6, and Equation 5.10

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (M_{\lambda_1}\boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_1}), F\rangle &= \sum_{E_i\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}} S^{V^G}_{(M_{\lambda_1}\boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_1}),E_i}\overline{S^{V^G}_{F,E_i}}\\ &= \sum_{E_i\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}} \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,E_i}} S^{V^G}_{M_{\lambda_1},E_i} S^{V^G}_{N_{\chi_1},E_i}\overline{S^{V^G}_{F,E_i}}\\ &= \sum_{E_i\in\mathscr{M}_{V^G,\mathrm{I}}} \frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,E_i}}\frac{\mathrm{dim}U_{\lambda_1}}{|G_M|} S^{V^G}_{M,E_i}\frac{\mathrm{dim}W_{\chi_1}}{|G_N|} S^{V^G}_{N,E_i}\overline{S^{V^G}_{F,E_i}}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the value, $\frac{1}{S^{V^G}_{V^G,E_i}}\frac{1}{|G_M|}S^{V^G}_{M,E_i}\frac{1}{|G_N|}S^{V^G}_{N,E_i}\overline{S^{V^G}_{F,E_i}}$, is independent of choices of λ_1 and χ_1. By Equation 2.5, the desired result follows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\langle (M_{\lambda_1}\boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_1}), F\rangle}{\langle (M_{\lambda_2}\boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_2}), F\rangle} &= \frac{(\mathrm{dim}U_{\lambda_1})(\mathrm{dim}W_{\chi_1})}{(\mathrm{dim}U_{\lambda_2})(\mathrm{dim}W_{\chi_2})}\\ &= \frac{(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}M_{\lambda_1})(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}N_{\chi_1})}{(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}M_{\lambda_2})(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}N_{\chi_2})}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6.2. Theorem 6.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (M_{\lambda_1}\boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_1}), F\rangle &= \langle \sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}} F_\xi, \sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} (\mathrm{dim}V_\xi)F_\xi\rangle\\ &= \sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}(\mathrm{dim}V_\xi).\end{aligned}$$

By Equation 2.5 and Theorem 6.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\langle (M_{\lambda_1}\boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_1}), F\rangle}{\langle (M_{\lambda_2}\boxtimes_{V^G} N_{\chi_2}), F\rangle} &= \frac{\sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}(\mathrm{dim}V_\xi)}{\sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_2},N_{\chi_2}}(\mathrm{dim}V_\xi)}\\ &= \frac{\sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}(\mathrm{qdim}F_\xi)}{\sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_2},N_{\chi_2}}(\mathrm{qdim}F_\xi)}\\ &= \frac{(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}M_{\lambda_1})(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}N_{\chi_1})}{(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}M_{\lambda_2})(\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}N_{\chi_2})},\end{aligned}$$

This means, inside every irreducible g-twisted V-module F, fusion rules of irreducible V^G-modules distribute "proportionally" to the product of their quantum dimensions.

Corollary 6.3. *Use the same notations in Theorem 6.1. We have*

$$\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}(\bigoplus_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}(F_\xi)) = \frac{\dim(W_{\chi_1})\dim(U_{\lambda_1})}{|G_M||G_N|}\frac{\mathrm{qdim}_V(F)}{|G_F|}\sum_{g,h,l\in G} N^{F\circ h}_{M\circ l,N\circ g}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{\chi\in\Lambda_{G_N,\alpha_N},\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} \dim(W_\chi)^2\dim(U_\lambda)^2 = |G_M||G_N|.$$

Recall that

$$M\boxtimes_{V^G} N = \sum_{\chi\in\Lambda_{G_N,\alpha_N},\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}} \dim(W_\chi)\dim(U_\lambda) M_\lambda\boxtimes_{V^G} N_\chi.$$

By Theorem 6.1, it follows that

$$\sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}(\dim(V_\xi)) = \frac{\dim(W_{\chi_1})\dim(U_{\lambda_1})\langle M\boxtimes_{V^G} N, F\rangle}{|G_M||G_N|}\sum_{g,h\in G} N^{F\circ h}_{M,N\circ g}.$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}(F_\xi) = \dim V_\xi\,\mathrm{qdim}_V(F)\frac{|G|}{|G_F|},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}(\bigoplus_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}(F_\xi)) &= \sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}} N^{F_\xi}_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}(\dim(V_\xi))\,\mathrm{qdim}_V(F)\frac{|G|}{|G_F|}\\ &= \frac{\dim(W_{\chi_1})\dim(U_{\lambda_1})\langle M\boxtimes_{V^G} N, F\rangle}{|G_M||G_N|}\frac{\mathrm{qdim}_V(F)|G|}{|G_F|}.\end{aligned}$$

So, by Theorem 5.6 and Equation (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle M\boxtimes_{V^G} N, F\rangle &= \sum_{g\in G}\langle M\boxtimes_V N\circ g, F\rangle\\ &= \sum_{g\in G}\langle\sum_{E\in\mathscr{M}_V} N^E_{M,N\circ g}E, F\rangle\\ &= \sum_{g\in G}\langle\sum_{E\in\mathscr{O}(F)} N^E_{M,N\circ g}E, F\rangle\\ &= \sum_{g,h\in G}\langle N^{F\circ h}_{M,N\circ g}F\circ h, F\rangle\frac{|\mathscr{O}(F)|}{|G|}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\iota(F\circ h)=\iota(F)$ as vectors in $\mathbf{E}_{V^G}$. By Remark 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle M\boxtimes_{V^G}N,F\rangle&=\sum_{g,h\in G}N_{M,N\circ g}^{F\circ h}\langle F,F\rangle\frac{|\mathscr{O}(F)|}{|G|}\\&=\sum_{g,h\in G}N_{M,N\circ g}^{F\circ h}|G_F|\frac{|\mathscr{O}(F)|}{|G|}\\&=\sum_{g,h\in G}N_{M,N\circ g}^{F\circ h}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.9, and Verlinde formula implies $N_{M,N}^F=N_{M\circ g,N\circ g}^{F\circ g}$. So, it follows that

$$|G|\sum_{g,h\in G}N_{M,N\circ g}^{F\circ h}=\sum_{g,h,l\in G}N_{M\circ l,N\circ g}^{F\circ h}.$$

Then, we have the desired result. □

Remark 6.4. Use the same notations in Reference [21], assume that $M,N,F\in\mathscr{M}_V$. Set

$$\mathcal{I}=\bigoplus_{(L^1,L^2,L^3)\in\mathscr{O}(M)\times\mathscr{O}(N)\times\mathscr{O}(F)}I_V\begin{pmatrix}L^3\\L^1\quad L^2\end{pmatrix}\otimes L^1\otimes L^2.$$

Let $X^1=\mathrm{Ind}_{S(M)}^{D(M)}U_{\lambda_1}$, and $X^2=\mathrm{Ind}_{S(N)}^{D(N)}W_{\chi_1}$. Set

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1,\chi_1}(v^1,v^2)=\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{f\otimes(w^1\otimes v^1)\otimes(w^2\otimes v^2)\in\mathcal{I}|w^1\in X^1,w^2\in X^2\}$$

with $v^1\in M_{\lambda_1}$,$v^2\in N_{\chi_1}$. Then by Reference [21, Theorem 2], we have

$$N_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi}\geq\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_{\mathscr{O}(F)}}(G,\mathscr{O}(F))}(\mathrm{Ind}_{S(F)}^{D(F)}V_\xi,\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1,\chi_1}(v^1,v^2)).\tag{6.1}$$

Under certain assumption, this inequality is an equation.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $M,N,F\in\mathscr{M}_V$. Use the same notations in Remark 6.4. We have*

$$N_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi}=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}Hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_{\mathscr{O}(F)}}(G,\mathscr{O}(F))}(\mathrm{Ind}_{S(F)}^{D(F)}V_\xi,\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1,\chi_1}(v^1,v^2)).$$

Proof. Let $H_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi}=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_{\mathscr{O}(F)}}(G,\mathscr{O}(F))}(\mathrm{Ind}_{S(F)}^{D(F)}V_\xi,\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1,\chi_1}(v^1,v^2))$. Note that

$$\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}(F_\xi)=\dim V_\xi\,\mathrm{qdim}_V(F)\frac{|G|}{|G_F|}.$$

We have

$$\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}(\bigoplus_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}}H_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi}(F_\xi))=\sum_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G_F,\alpha_F}}H_{M_{\lambda_1},N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi}\dim(\mathrm{Ind}_{S(F)}^{D(F)}V_\xi)\,\mathrm{qdim}_V(F).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_{\mathscr{O}(F)}}(G, \mathscr{O}(F))$ is semisimple, and its simple modules are precisely $\mathrm{Ind}_{S(F)}^{D(F)} V_\xi$. It follows that

$$\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{G_F, \alpha_F}} H_{M_{\lambda_1}, N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi} \dim(\mathrm{Ind}_{S(F)}^{D(F)} V_\xi) \, \mathrm{qdim}_V(F) = \mathrm{qdim}_V(F) \dim(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1, \chi_1}(v^1, v^2)).$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1, \chi_1}(v^1, v^2) \cong \bigoplus_{(L^1, L^2, L^3) \in \mathscr{O}(M) \times \mathscr{O}(N) \times \mathscr{O}(F)} I_V \binom{L^3}{L^1 \quad L^2} \otimes U_{\lambda_1} \otimes W_{\chi_1}.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda_1, \chi_1}(v^1, v^2)) &= \sum_{(L^1, L^2, L^3) \in \mathscr{O}(M) \times \mathscr{O}(N) \times \mathscr{O}(F)} \dim I_V \binom{L^3}{L^1 \quad L^2} \dim U_{\lambda_1} \dim W_{\chi_1} \\ &= \sum_{(L^1, L^2, L^3) \in \mathscr{O}(M) \times \mathscr{O}(N) \times \mathscr{O}(F)} F_{L_1, L_2}^{L^3} \dim U_{\lambda_1} \dim W_{\chi_1} \\ &= \frac{\dim(W_{\chi_1}) \dim(U_{\lambda_1})}{|G_M||G_N||G_F|} \sum_{g,h,l \in G} N_{M \circ l, N \circ g}^{F \circ h}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{G_F, \alpha_F}} H_{M_{\lambda_1}, N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi} \, \mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} F_\xi = \frac{\dim(W_{\chi_1}) \dim(U_{\lambda_1})}{|G_M||G_N|} \frac{\mathrm{qdim}_V(F)}{|G_F|} \sum_{g,h,l \in G} N_{M \circ l, N \circ g}^{F \circ h}.$$

By Corollary 6.3, we have

$$\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{G_F, \alpha_F}} N_{M_{\lambda_1}, N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi} \, \mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} F_\xi = \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda_{G_F, \alpha_F}} H_{M_{\lambda_1}, N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi} \, \mathrm{qdim}_{V^G} F_\xi.$$

Since we have $\mathrm{qdim}_{V^G}(F_\xi) > 0$ and $N_{M_{\lambda_1}, N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi} \geq H_{M_{\lambda_1}, N_{\chi_1}}^{F_\xi}$, the desired result follows. □

References

[1] Toshiyuki Abe, Geoffrey Buhl, and Chongying Dong. Rationality, regularity, and C_2-cofiniteness. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 356(8):3391–3402, 2004.

[2] Chunrui Ai, Chongying Dong, Xiangyu Jiao, and Li Ren. The irreducible modules and fusion rules for the parafermion vertex operator algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.8154*, 2014.

[3] Richard E Borcherds. Vertex algebras, kac-moody algebras, and the monster. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 83(10):3068–3071, 1986.

[4] C. Dong, L. Ren, and F. Xu. On Orbifold Theory. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2015.

[5] Chongying Dong and Cuipo Jiang. A characterization of vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 296(1):69–88, 2010.

[6] Chongying Dong, Xiangyu Jiao, and Feng Xu. Quantum dimensions and quantum galois theory. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 365(12):6441–6469, 2013.

[7] Chongying Dong, Haisheng Li, and Geoffrey Mason. Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 132(1):148–166, 1997.

[8] Chongying Dong, Haisheng Li, and Geoffrey Mason. Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras. *Mathematische Annalen*, 310(3):571–600, 1998.

[9] Chongying Dong, Haisheng Li, and Geoffrey Mason. Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized moonshine. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 214(1):1–56, 2000.

[10] Chongying Dong, Xingjun Lin, and Siu-Hung Ng. Congruence property in conformal field theory. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 9(9):2121–2166, 2015.

[11] Chongying Dong and Geoffrey Mason. On quantum galois theory. *Duke Math. J.*, 86(2):305–321, 1997.

[12] Igor Frenkel, James Lepowsky, and Arne Meurman. *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster*, volume 134. Academic press, 1989.

[13] R. Matthias Gaberdiel and Andrew Neitzke. Rationality, quasirationality and finite w-algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 238(1):305–331, 2003.

[14] Akihide Hanaki, Masahiko Miyamoto, Daisuke Tambara, et al. Quantum galois theory for finite groups. *Duke mathematical journal*, 97(3):541–544, 1999.

[15] Y-Z Huang and James Lepowsky. A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, i. *Selecta Mathematica*, 1(4):699–756, 1995.

[16] Y-Z Huang and James Lepowsky. A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, ii. *Selecta Mathematica*, 1(4):757–786, 1995.

[17] Yi-Zhi Huang. Vertex operator algebras and the verlinde conjecture. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 10(01):103–154, 2008.

[18] Yi-Zhi Huang, Alexander Kirillov Jr, and James Lepowsky. Braided tensor categories and extensions of vertex operator algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 337(3):1143–1159, 2015.

[19] Yi-Zhi Huang and James Lepowsky. A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, iii. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 100(1):141–171, 1995.

[20] Haisheng Li. Some finiteness properties of regular vertex operator algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 212(2):495 – 514, 1999.

[21] Kenichiro Tanabe. On intertwining operators and finite automorphism groups of vertex operator algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 287(1):174–198, 2005.

[22] Erik Verlinde. Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2D conformal field theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 300:360–376, 1988.

[23] Yongchang Zhu. Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 9(1):237–302, 1996.